

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<hr/>	:	CIVIL ACTION
BRETT PERLOFF,	:	NO. 10-1758
Plaintiff	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
DAVID STEIN, et al.,	:	
Defendants	:	
<hr/>	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 23rd day of February, 2011, upon review of Defendant Beth Stein's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 20] and Plaintiff's reply thereto [Doc. No. 23], and the Motion to Dismiss filed by David Stein, Scott Stein, Mindi Stein and 1904 Chestnut Enterprises, Inc. [Doc. No. 24] and Plaintiff's reply thereto [Doc. No. 30], and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Beth Stein's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**;
2. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to terminate Beth Stein as a party to this matter;
3. The Motion to Dismiss claims against Mindi Stein is **GRANTED**;
4. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to terminate Mindi Stein as a party to this matter;
5. The Motion to Dismiss claims against David Stein, Scott Stein, and 1904 Chestnut Enterprises, Inc. is **GRANTED** as to the invasion of privacy claim (Count III) and **DENIED** as to the Federal Stored Communications Act claim (Count II).¹
6. The Court declines supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims alleged in the Amended Complaint, and accordingly Count I and Counts IV-XI are **DISMISSED**.

It is so ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.

¹ The Court declines to issue a ruling as to Sean Stein while the case pending against him is stayed.